CONJUGATE ADDITION OF ARYLACETONITRILES TO CINNAMIC ACID DERIVATIVES. A CASE OF HIGH DIASTEREOSELECTIVE ASYMMETRIC PROTONATION.

Lilia VITEVA, Yuri STEFANOVSKY* Institute of Organic Chemistry with Centre of Phytochemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia 1040, Bulgaria

Abstract: A case of the formation of two vicinal asymmetric centers bv the Michael addition is studied. The loss of chiralitv as a result of C₂-C₄ carbanion transformation is followed by asymmetric protonatiofi of the prochiral \texttt{C}_4 centre and high diastereoselective formation of the erythro isomer.

The diastereoselective rormarlon or a C-C bond is one of the most important problems in synthetic organic chemistry. Recently, we demonstrated a high threo preference ($E/T=5/95$) in the Michael addition of phenylacetic acid dialkyl amides to cinnamic acid derivatives under thermodynamic conditions [l]. The result was explained by a stabilizing chelation in the adduct [2] and a triple ion structure of the chelate was found [3].

The present work deals with the effect of the nitrile group in the donor (as a group with lower complexing ability 141) on the stereochemical result of the reaction with methyl cinnamate and cinnamic acid dimethyl amide:

Most of the reports on the Michael addition of benzyl cyanide are concerned with the regioselectivity of the reaction [5-8]. Stereochemical data have been reported in a few cases but their origin (kinetic or thermodynamic) remains unclear [g-11].

The reaction was carried out in THF at -78° or at ambient temperature. Satisfactory analytical and spectral data were obtained for all new compounds. The 4566

relative configuration of erythro- 1 and erythro- 3 is assigned after hydrolysis producing erythro-2,3-diphenylglutaric acid [12]. The assignment of the configuration of 2 and 4 is based on the similarity of their 1_H NMR spectra with the spectra of $\underline{1}$ and $\underline{3}$. The differences in the chemical shifts of the C₄-H (cases $\underline{1}$ - $\frac{4}{1}$ or COOCH₃ protons (cases $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{2}{2}$) were used in the determination of the E/T ratios.

Heavy water hydrolysis followed by NMR analysis showed that in the case of the dimethyl amide of cinnamic acid, a C_4 carbanion is formed. This is in accordance with some data given in the literature [13,14]. More unexpected was however the case of methyl cinnamate, where the metal remains at C_2 . For this reason these two cases will be considered separately.

Methyl cinnamate. The stereochemistry of the reaction is represented in Table 1.

Addition to methyl cinnamate.

Table 1

The ratio 46/54 is kinetically controlled and can be explained by a cyclic transition state (see [1]) which still can be realized with Li as the counterion. When sodium is used, an open transition state is consistent with the observed erythro diastereoselectivity and its increase in the case of 2 .

The coincidence of the stereochemistry under kinetic and thermodynamic conditions was proved by concurrent reactions. Such a coincidence is described in the literature [15] and explained by similar steric interactions in the transition state and the reaction adduct. The predominance of the erythro isomer under thermodynamic conditions in contrast to previous results [l] is, in our opinion, due to a change of the preferred chelated to non-chelated conformation indicated

by a low $J_{3,4}$ -value (about 5 Hz against 12 Hz in previously studied cases).

Dimethyl amide of cinnamic acid. When the Li reagent of phenylacetonitrile is reacted at -78° , a secondary, kinetically controlled addition of the 1,4adduct to the acceptor occurs. The resulting product has a threo configuration around the $C_3 - C_4$ bond, which proves the reversibility of the conjugated addition at this low temperature. At 22⁰ the side reaction becomes reversible and the equilibrium is shifted to the 1,4-reaction product with the metal at C_{Λ} . Hydrolysis of this product leads to the pure erythro isomer. When the Na reagent is used, no side product is obtained (see Table 2) and the formation of the C_4 carbanion is faster.

Table 2

Addition to cinnamic acid dimethyl amide.			

*/ The metal is 100% at C_4 in E and 60% in T.

There are reasons to assume that the C_2-C_4 carbanion transformation occurs intramolecularly in both diastereomeric adducts like the case described by S.Berrada and P.Metzner [16].

Two prochiral intermediate conformations are under consideration, in which intramolecular coordination of the metal is possible. The stereochemical course of protonation will depend on the environment of the C4 centre. The molecular models show that \underline{A} can be considered as more stable and its protonation seems to be allowed only from the side of the methine proton which will give diastereoselectively the erythro isomer. The C₄ centre of <u>B</u> is accessible from both sides and will deliver a mixture of isomers. Obviously this is the cause for the

result 50/50 obtained at low temperature. When the equilibrium is shifted to A the result of protonation is about 100% erythro isomer. The addition of 20% HMPT or 12-crown-4 to the reaction mixture, which leads to the ratio 27/83 is an evidence for participation of the metal in the stabilization of A.

The above study presents an interesting case of the formation of two vicinal asymmetric centers by means of the Michael addition. The loss of chirality as a result of intramolecular metal transfer is restored with complete erythro diastereoselectivity as a result of asymmetric protonation of the prochiral intermediate.

ning the diastereoselective formation of C-C bonds. The results obtained contribute to the understanding of the factors gover-

References

1. Y.Stefanovsky, L.Viteva, Mh.Chem., 1980, 111, 1287.

2. Y.Stefanovsky, Tz.Gospodova, L.Viteva, Tetrahedron, 1986, 42, 5355.

3. L.Viteva, Y.Stefanovsky, J.Phys.Org.Chem. in press.

4. Ch.Tzvetanov, Doctor Thesis, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, 1988.

5. G.Kyriakakou, M.C.Roux-Schmitt, J.Seyden-Penne, Te<u>trahedron,</u> 1975, <u>31</u>,1883.

6. M.El-Bouz, M.C.Roux-Schmitt, L.Wartski, J.Chem.Soc.Chem.Commun., 1979, 779.

7. M.C.Roux-Schmitt, L.Wartski, J.Seyden-Penne, Tetrahedron, 1981, 37, 1927.

8. M.Cossentini, J.Seyden-Penne, Synth.Commun., 1985, l5, 689.

9. A.M.Baradel, J.Dreux, R.Longeray, Bull.Soc.Chim.Fr., 1970, 255.

10. R.Sauvetre, M.C.Roux-Schmitt, J.Seyden-Penne,<u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1978, <u>34</u>, 2135.

11. M.Cossentini, T.Strzalko, J.Seyden-Penne, <u>Bull.Soc.Chim.Fr</u>., 1987, 531.

12. S.Avery, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 1928, 50, 2512.

13. M.Cossentini, B.Deschamps, N.Trong-Anh, J.Seyden-Penne, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 1977, 33, 409.

14. B.Deschamps, Tetrahedron, 1978, 34, 2009.

15. J.E.Dubois, J.E.Fort, Tetrahedron, 1972, 28, 1653.

16. S.Berrada, P.Metzner, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 409.

(Received in UK 4 July 1989)

4568